[29th November 1928

Water-rate

Assessment of lands in Tanjore district.

*989 *Q.*—Rajkumar S. N. Dorai Raja: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased—

(i) to call for and lay on the table rent roll irrigation source and soilwar area classification and assessments of Ekabogam ryotwari villages in Tanjore, Trichinopoly and Madura districts, wherein all lands are monopolized by five or ten landholders; and

(ii) to state whether it is a fact that lands in the soilwar classification area are assessed at far lower rates in well-to-do villages than similar lands occupied by poor miscellaneous pattadars in other adjacent villages of all firkas in Tanjore and Trichinopoly districts?

A.—The Board of Revenue has been asked to report the information required in clause (i) if it is readily available; and to report on the allegation in clause (ii).

Rajkumar S. N. Dorai Raja:—"Will the hon. the Revenue Member be pleased to see that the reports are made available to the Members before the next meeting of the Council."

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks:—"The Government will do their best, Sir."

Proposed transfer of Kontivada zamin in West Godavari district from Schedule A to B under the Irrigation Cess Act.

*990 *Q.*—Mr. D. Narayana Raju: With reference to the Revenue Department notification No. 220 published at page 1194 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 7th August 1928, will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether Government will be pleased to place on the table of the House all the material papers upon which the proposal to transfer Kontivada zamin in West Godavari district from Schedule A to B under the Irrigation Cess Act, 1865, is based;

(*b*) whether the notification referred to in (*a*) has been published in Telugu in the *West Godavari District Gazette* and in Kontivada village office and, if so, on what date or dates;

(*c*) before what date the ryots of Kontivada zamin have to submit their objections to the said proposal;

(*d*) why it is that in the notification referred to above objections are called for in respect of individual fields instead of in respect of the proposal for transfer as a whole;

(*e*) whether it is a fact that a similar request for transfer preferred by the proprietor in 1927 was rejected both by the Board of Revenue and the Collector, West Godavari district; and

(*f*) if the answer to (*e*) be in the affirmative, what has since happened that those two authorities should now change their attitude and recommend the proposal to Government?

A.—(*a*), (*e*) & (*f*) A copy of the report from the Board of Revenue, No. H. 7221/27-3, dated 13th July 1928, and its enclosures are laid on the table.[a]

---

[a] Printed as Appendix XXI on pages 549—554 infra.